

AEF Deadline 6 representation on post-hearing issues, and comments on information received at Deadline 5, relating to climate and greenhouse gas emissions, specifically the applicant's forecast CO₂ emissions and Jet Zero modelling

Introduction

- 1. During the ISH on climate and in response to written representations made by AEF, GAL has asserted and relied upon the contention that the modelling undertaken for Jet Zero takes account of the airport's growth and therefore the emissions from the proposed expansion. The Jet Zero Strategy Dataset states that the available capacity of Gatwick Airport assumed in the Jet Zero modelling is 386,000 ATMs by 2050, which is in line with the proposed growth at the airport, but no information is provided in that Dataset or in Jet Zero on the level of runway utilisation (as distinct from the available capacity) or the associated emissions.
- 2. Accordingly, AEF asked for information through an Environmental Information Request to the Department for Transport (DfT). This has confirmed that the revised modelling for Jet Zero One Year On (JZOYO) shows a significantly lower level of capacity utilisation at Gatwick Airport when compared to its available capacity: in 2050, DfT modelling forecasts that the airport will handle 263,012 ATMs with traffic having peaked at 298,395 ATMs in 2030. Consequently, the level of emissions assumed to come from Gatwick Airport in the JZOYO modelling, 1,472,924 tCO₂e in 2050, is significantly lower than the number provided in GAL's assessment.

Summary Conclusions

- 3. Two points can be made in relation to the information obtained JZOYO modelling:
 - Firstly, the DfT's demand and passenger allocation model assumes that while
 Gatwick Airport has available capacity with the current project to accommodate
 traffic up to 386,000 ATMs, the projected demand for air travel is met largely by
 the availability of capacity elsewhere in the UK airport system. Consequently,
 Gatwick Airport is forecast to handle fewer ATMs in 2050 than the 280,681 ATMs
 it handled in 2019 using its one existing runway.
 - Given the lower traffic forecasts for Gatwick, <u>JZOYO forecasts approximately half</u>
 the emissions estimated by GAL for 2050. Consequently, GAL cannot rely on Jet
 Zero or JZOYO as addressing or managing the climate impact from the forecast
 higher level of emissions associated with GAL's traffic predictions in this DCO
 process.

Details

- 4. In its environmental statement, GAL states that the emissions associated with the project will be 2.964tCO₂e in 2050 using assumptions that are in line with those used in the Jet Zero Strategy. However, from the information published in the Jet Zero modelling, it is not possible to determine whether the DfT assumes a similar level of emissions from the airport's operations.
- 5. As a result, in March 2024, AEF submitted a request to the Department for Transport requesting any information on CO₂ projections for Gatwick Airport under the high ambition trajectory for 2030, 2038, 2040, 2047 and 2050 for the Jet Zero Strategy and the Jet Zero Strategy One Year On. This request was made as an Environmental Information Request and assigned the number EIR-00014035. The DfT response dated 24/04/24 is reproduced in full together with the associated notes and caveats in Appendix 1. These do not affect the conclusions set out above.
- 6. The table below shows the modelled CO₂e emissions for the high ambition scenario in the Jet Zero strategy and Jet Zero One Year On.

Jet Zero Strategy High Ambition Scenario CO2e emissions Figures in tonnes 2047 2050 2030 2038 2040 Gatwick | 5,218,842 | 3,276,785 | 2,851,242 | 1.964.471 1.792.499

Jet Zero S	Strategy On	e Year On H	ligh Ambitio	n Scenario (CO2e emiss	ions
Figures in	tonnes					
	2030	2038	2040	2047	2050	
Gatwick	4 757 948	2 595 581	2 030 652	1 594 764	1 472 924	

7. In response to a further AEF request for clarification on the traffic levels associated with the above results, DfT provided a second data set showing the forecast number of Air Traffic Movements (ATMs) using Gatwick Airport as shown in the table below:

Jet Zero	Strategy mig	n Ambitior	i Scenario i	COZE ATIVIS		
	2030	2038	2040	2047	2050	
Gatwick	322,730	285,356	274,328	328,860	378,428	
Jet Zero Scenario						
	2030	2038	2040	2047	2050	
Gatwick	298,395	232,147	218,127	245,245	263,012	

8. While Jet Zero assumes the available capacity at Gatwick to be 386,000 ATMs in 2050, the modelling in One Year On assumes a much lower level of runway utilisation. The 263,012 ATMs forecast to use the airport in 2050 takes account of the underlying demand for travel, aircraft size, load factors, available capacity (for example, the potential for Heathrow to grow to 740,000 ATMs in 2050), and alternative routes.

Appendix 1 DfT response to EIR 00014035 with notes and caveats





Department for Transport Great Minster House 33 Horseferry Road London SW1P 4DR

Tel: 0300 330 3000

Web Site: www.gov.uk/dft

Our Ref: 00014035



Environmental Information Regulations request - 00014035

Thank you for your information request dated 08/03/2024.

You requested the CO2 projections for Gatwick Airport under the high ambition trajectory for 2030, 2038, 2040, 2047 and 2050 for the Jet Zero Strategy and the Jet Zero Strategy one year on.

The data requested can be found in the attached spreadsheet alongside some relevant caveats.

Appeals procedure

If you are dissatisfied with the way we have responded to or handled your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. These should be submitted within two calendar months of the date of this letter and addressed to the FOI Advice Team at FOI-Advice-Team-DFT@dft.gov.uk.

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you ask for an internal review and are still not content with the outcome, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted via their online form: https://ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/official-information-concerns-report/official-information-concern/

Yours sincerely,

Reproduction of the attached spreadsheet

Jet Zero Strategy High Ambition Scenario CO2e emissions

Figures in tonnes

	2030	2038	2040	2047	2050
Gatwick	5,218,842	3,276,785	2,851,242	1,964,471	1,792,499

Jet Zero Strategy One Year On High Ambition Scenario CO2e emissions

Figures in tonnes

Ü	2030	2038	2040	2047	2050
Gatwick	4,757,948	2,595,581	2,030,652	1,594,764	1,472,924

Date:	Apr-24											
	74.2.											
This dataset inc	ludes:											
CO2 emissions (ton	nes) for Gatwick A	irport in line	with the Hig	h Ambition s	cenario prese	ented in the	Jet Zero Stra	tegy and the	Jet Zero St	rategy One	Year On docu	ıment
Caveats that must	be considered who	en viewing t	hese forecas	ted figures								
Modelled Foreca	ast Caveats:											
•			•									
shown in the scenar national modelled en	ios themselves. Th	is is becau etter align r	se of modelli	ng adjustmer	nts that are n	nade to the r	national emis	sions figures	. A modelling	residual is	added to the	
shown in the scenar national modelled en readily be allocated. The capacity assument a prediction of we to operate and these	ios themselves. The nissions totals to be to specific airports in mptions required by that the Department act as a reasona	or routes. y the model tof Transpole upper be	se of modelli modelled emis do not pre-ju ort thinks will ound of poss	ng adjustmer ssions with n udge the outo I happen with ible future ai	nts that are national aviational aviational aviational aviation of any formula future capacity rport capacity.	nade to the ron bunker er	national emissions data missions data ang application on. However, iated UK avia	sions figures a from the bans, including specific ass tion emissio	. A modelling se year (201 decisions ta umptions muns and are c	residual is 6) and this ken by Minis st be made possistent wi	added to the cannot sters, and are for the model)
shown in the scenar national modelled en readily be allocated The capacity assument a prediction of we to operate and these planning applications This data is based of	ios themselves. Thissions totals to be to specific airports impetions required by that the Department act as a reasonals. The purpose of the purpose of the assumptions on the assumptions.	or routes. y the model to f Transpole upper bhis modellings that SAF	se of modelli modelled emi- do not pre-ju ort thinks will ound of poss ag is limited t	ng adjustmer ssions with n udge the outd happen with ible future ai o providing a	nts that are national aviational aviational aviational aviation of any future capacity a consistent but the	future plannicity expansic y and associousis to test	national emissions data missions data ang application on. However, ated UK avia the potential	sions figures a from the bands as, including specific ass tion emissio effectivenes	. A modelling se year (201 decisions ta umptions muns and are cas of measures. This is core	residual is 6) and this ken by Minis st be made onsistent wites to meet r	added to the cannot sters, and are for the model th current let zero.)
Due to the way tha shown in the scenar national modelled en readily be allocated. The capacity assument a prediction of who operate and these planning applications. This data is based opresented in both Jeaccounting rules, ho	ios themselves. Thissions totals to be to specific airports importing the specific airports importing the specific airports importing the specific airports are act as a reasonals. The purpose of the sasumption on the assumption at Zero consultation	is is becau etter align i or routes. y the model t of Transpible upper b his modelling s that SAF is. In the Ju	se of modelli modelled emis do not pre-ju ort thinks will ound of poss ag is limited t	ng adjustmer ssions with n udge the out I happen with ible future ai o providing a nd 70% emis egy, we also	nts that are national aviational aviational aviational aviation of any future capacity a consistent but the	future plannicity expansic y and associousis to test	national emissions data missions data ang application on. However, ated UK avia the potential	sions figures a from the bands as, including specific ass tion emissio effectivenes	. A modelling se year (201 decisions ta umptions muns and are cas of measures. This is core	residual is 6) and this ken by Minis st be made onsistent wites to meet r	added to the cannot sters, and are for the model th current let zero.)
shown in the scenar national modelled en readily be allocated The capacity assument a prediction of who to operate and these planning applications. This data is based opresented in both Jermanatorial models.	ios themselves. Thissions totals to be to specific airports in the Department act as a reasonal s. The purpose of the Department on the assumptions of Zero consultation wever these figure viation Model uses pin calculating the national and airporgoing model calibered on national lever on national lever on national lever on national lever on the services of the Department of the Departmen	is is becau etter align in or routes. y the model t of Transp- ble upper bhis modelling s that SAF s. In the J s are not p airport level se national rt level. The ration prior el emission	do not pre-ju ort thinks will ound of possing is limited to delivers arou et Zero Stration rovided in thi al constrained level forecasses airport le to publishing s, which were	ng adjustmer ssions with nudge the outdident in the providing a nud 70% emisegy, we also so dataset. If forecasts fists. Emission vel estimates these forece the focus ce the focus ce the focus ce the focus ce the sions with number of the second in the se	come of any for the come of any for the come of any for the consistent by the consis	future plannii city expansic y and associ asis to test based on av e results as: s and ATMs ere calibrate ertheless be proach was forecasts given	national emismissions data missions data mg application on. However, iated UK avia the potential verage lifecy suming SAF to calculate d against the treated with taken becausen their prin	sions figures a from the baths and the baths are still all all all all all all all all all	. A modelling se year (201 decisions ta umptions muns and are c ss of measur . This is cor 6 emissions the emphasis the emphasis between the country and the c	residual is 6) and this 6) and this 6) and this ken by Minis st be made onsistent with avings, bas Airport leve data (2019) was nation; was nation; sort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5	added to the cannot sters, and are for the model th current net zero. the analysis ed on GHG forecasts at the time of all level for routes zero Strategy.	
shown in the scenar national modelled en readily be allocated. The capacity assument a prediction of wood operate and these planning applications. This data is based onesented in both Jeaccounting rules, hood the department's A are an essential step sublication at both a corecasts when under a slittle material eff Airport level forecasts.	ios themselves. Thissions totals to be to specific airports in the Department act as a reasonal s. The purpose of the Department on the assumptions of Zero consultation wever these figure viation Model uses pin calculating the national and airporgoing model calibered on national lever on national lever on national lever on national lever on the services of the Department of the Departmen	is is becau etter align in or routes. y the model t of Transp- ble upper bhis modelling s that SAF s. In the J s are not p airport level se national rt level. The ration prior el emission	do not pre-ju ort thinks will ound of possing is limited to delivers arou et Zero Stration rovided in thi al constrained level forecasses airport le to publishing s, which were	ng adjustmer ssions with nudge the outdident in the providing a nud 70% emisegy, we also so dataset. If forecasts fists. Emission vel estimates these forece the focus ce the focus ce the focus ce the focus ce the sions with number of the second in the se	come of any for the come of any for the come of any for the consistent by the consis	future plannii city expansic y and associ asis to test based on av e results as: s and ATMs ere calibrate ertheless be proach was forecasts given	national emismissions data missions data mg application on. However, iated UK avia the potential verage lifecy suming SAF to calculate d against the treated with taken becausen their prin	sions figures a from the baths and the baths are still all all all all all all all all all	. A modelling se year (201 decisions ta umptions muns and are c ss of measur . This is cor 6 emissions the emphasis the emphasis between the country and the c	residual is 6) and this 6) and this 6) and this ken by Minis st be made onsistent with avings, bas Airport leve data (2019) was nation; was nation; sort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5	added to the cannot sters, and are for the model th current net zero. the analysis ed on GHG forecasts at the time of all level for routes zero Strategy.	
shown in the scenar national modelled en readily be allocated or a capacity assument a prediction of who to operate and these planning applications. This data is based or presented in both Jeaccounting rules, however, the department's A care an essential stepublication at both a forecasts when under has little material eff	ios themselves. Thissions totals to be to specific airports in the period of the perio	is is becau etter align in or routes. y the model t of Transpible upper binds modelling s that SAF is a renot positive a renot positive se national trilevel. The reation prior el emission	do not pre-ju ort thinks will ound of possing is limited to delivers arou tet Zero Stration rovided in thi el constrained level forecasse airport le to publishing s, which were o have greate	ng adjustmer ssions with n udge the outd I happen with ible future ai o providing a nd 70% emis egy, we also s dataset. d forecasts f sts. Emission vel estimates t these forec: e the focus of er uncertainty	come of any full future capacity a consistent but a consi	future plannii city expansic y and associ asis to test based on av e results as: s and ATMs ere calibrate ertheless be proach was forecasts given	national emismissions data missions data mg application on. However, iated UK avia the potential verage lifecy suming SAF to calculate d against the treated with taken becausen their prin	sions figures a from the baths and the baths are still all all all all all all all all all	. A modelling se year (201 decisions ta umptions muns and are c ss of measur . This is cor 6 emissions the emphasis the emphasis between the country and the c	residual is 6) and this 6) and this 6) and this ken by Minis st be made onsistent with avings, bas Airport leve data (2019) was nation; was nation; sort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5 ort the Jet 5	added to the cannot sters, and are for the model th current net zero. the analysis ed on GHG forecasts at the time of all level for routes zero Strategy.	

Email from DfT to AEF, dated 09/05/24, providing additional information relating to a further request from AEF to clarify the number of ATMs associated with the emissions forecasts

"Apologies for the delay in responding but took some time to gather (and approve) additional data to respond to your query.

The ATM capacity is an assumption on the top-level limit for aircraft movements at Gatwick that <u>could operate</u>. When the limit is reached the Aviation model applies constraints and causes passengers to shift to other airports in an iterative process. Airports will not always be operating at their capacity and passenger/ATM allocation depends on the interactions between underlying demand, airports available and constraints at airports. You are correct that the capacity assumptions are the same in the data provided as in the JetZero Strategy.

However in order to understand the ATMs that have produced the emissions you would need the forecasts ATMs from the corresponding CO2 data (which I have attached). So for example Gatwick operating in 2050 is forecast to emit 1,472,924tCO2e from 263,012 ATMs

Hope this answers your query.

Thanks"

Reproduction of the spreadsheet attached to the DfT email sent on 09/05/24

	.			00 4714		
Jet Zero S	Strategy Hig	h Ambition 2038			2050	
Gatwick	2030 322,730	285,356	2040 274,328	2047 328,860	2050 378,428	
Jatwick	322,730	200,300	274,320	320,000	370,420	
et Zero S	Strategy On	e Year On H	ligh Ambitic	nn -		
Scenario		c rear on r	ngn Ambitic	, II		
	2030	2038	2040	2047	2050	
Gatwick	298,395	232,147	218,127	245,245	263,012	
				-	-	
Airport Jet Z	Zero Strategy d	ataset				
Date: IZ JZOYO Gatwi	Apr-24					
JEOTO GULWI	CRYTTIVIS.XISX					
his dataset ii						
	k Airport in line with th	-		let Zero Strategy and	the Jet Zero Strategy	One Year On doo
	ist be considered wher up request to EIR 1403		isted figures			
Modelled Fore	ecast Caveats:					
It abould be not	ed that there is uncerta	ainty when considering	a requite at the level of	f individual airparta	annocially those subje	set to high levels o
removal of rout	often commercial, drive es having a larger prop	portional impact on ov	erall passenger numbe	ers.	<u> </u>	, ,
not a prediction of to operate and the	sumptions required by f what the Department ase act as a reasonable ons. The purpose of the	of Transport thinks w le upper bound of pos	ill happen with future of sible future airport cap	apacity expansion. I	However, specific ass d UK aviation emission	umptions must be ns and are consis
are an essential soublication at both forecasts when unas little material	Aviation Model uses a tep in calculating thes n a national and airport ndergoing model calibra effect on national leve casts are therefore con	e national level foreca t level. These airport I ation prior to publishin el emissions, which we	asts. Emission forecase evel estimates should g these forecasts. The tre the focus of this se	nevertheless be treat s approach was take of of forecasts given	gainst the most recent ated with caution, as t on because local comp their primary purpose	ly available data he emphasis was betition between a was to support th

This data should be viewed alongside all caveats outlined in the Jet Zero Strategy

END